arXiv:1608.05332v1 [math.GR] 18 Aug 2016

INVARIANT SUBSETS OF THE SPACE OF SUBGROUPS, EQUATIONAL COMPACTNESS AND THE WEAK EQUIVALENCE OF ACTIONS

GÁBOR ELEK AND KONRAD KRÓLICKI

Abstract. Equationally compact subgroups of countable groups were introduced by Banaschewski. For all known cases the orbit closure of such a subgroup is a countable subset in the space of subgroups and has finite Cantor-Bendixson rank. We show that there exists a finitely generated group Γ such that for any countable ordinal α we have an equationally compact subgroup $H \subset \Gamma$ for which the Cantor-Bendixson rank of the orbit closure of H equals to $\alpha + 2$. Then we give an explicite construction of continuum many equationally compact subgroups of Γ such that the associated ergodic Bernoulli shift actions are pairwise weakly incomparable. We also answer two questions on equational compactness posed by Prest and Rajani.

Keywords. equational compactness, space of subgroups, weak equivalence, Cantor-Bendixson rank

1. Introduction

It is well-known that fields are **equationally compact**, that is, if we have a system $E_i, i \in I$ of equations over a field K

$$\sum_j a_{ij}x_j = m_i$$

and for any finite subset of I the equations E_i can be solved simultaneously, then all the equations can be solved simultaneously. Now let Γ be a countable group acting on a set X by permutations. Let $\mathrm{Fix}(s)$ be the fixed point set of $s \in \Gamma$. Following Banaschewski [2], we say that a Γ-action is equationally compact, if we have a subset S of Γ and for any finite subset T of S, $\cap_{s\in T}\mathrm{Fix}(s)$ is non-empty, then $\cap_{s\in S}\mathrm{Fix}(s)$ is non-empty. A subgroup H of Γ is equationally compact (or PIP, [8]) if the left action on Γ/H is equationally compact. The following proposition is quite straightforward and is left for the reader.

Proposition 1.1. *The subgroup $H \subset G$ is equationally compact if any of the following three conditions hold:*

- *H is a finite extension of a normal subgroup (in particular, if H is finite or normal).*
- *The normalizator subgroup of H has finite index in G.*
- *H is malnormal.*

AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 20E07, 37B05 , RESEARCH PARTLY SPONSORED BY MTA RENYI "LENDULET" GROUPS AND GRAPHS RESEARCH GROUP

On the other hand, Banaschewski proved ([2], Proposition 6.) that the free group of infinite generators has non-equationally compact subgroups.
Let Γ be a countable group and $\{0,1\}^\Gamma$ be the set of subsets of Γ with the Tychonoff-topology. The set of all subgroups, $S(\Gamma)$ forms a closed, invariant (under the conjugate action) subspace of $\{0,1\}^\Gamma$. If Γ acts on a set X, then the set $\{\mathrm{Stab}(x) : x \in X\} = M(\Gamma, X)$ is an invariant subspace of $S(\Gamma)$. The following proposition is easy to prove.

Proposition 1.2. *Let Γ act on the set X and let $M(\Gamma, X) \subset S(\Gamma)$ be the corresponding invariant subspace of $S(\Gamma)$. Then, the action is equationally compact if and only if for any subgroup K in the closure of $M(\Gamma, X)$, there exists an element of $L \in M(\Gamma, X)$ such that $K \subseteq L$. Particularly, $H \subset \Gamma$ is equationally compact if for any K in the orbit closure of H, there exists a conjugate of H, $L = gHg^{-1}$ such that $K \subseteq L$.*

The first goal of the paper is to answer two queries of Prest and Rajani [8] concerning equational compactness by proving the following two theorems.

Theorem 1. *The only equationally compact subgroup of the finitary symmetric group S_∞^0 on $\mathbb{N}$ are the finite subgroups and the group of even permutations A_∞^0.*

Theorem 2. *There exists a countable group Γ acting on a set X, such that the action is equationally compact, but for any $x \in X$, Stab(x) is not an equationally compact subgroup of Γ.*

Let H be a subgroup of a countable group Γ. Then one can consider the Cantor-Bendixson rank of its orbit closure ([3]). In some sense the Cantor-Bendixson rank measures the complexity of a subgroup, how far they are from being normal. Notice that the orbit closures of all the subgroups described in Proposition 1.1 are countable sets and their Cantor-Bendixson ranks are finite. One of the two main results of our paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2 * \ Z_2$ be the free product of three cyclic groups. Then, for any countable ordinal α, there exists an equationally compact subgroup H of Γ such that orbit closure of H is countable and its Cantor-Bendixson rank is $\alpha + 2$.*

Finally, we apply our techniques for the construction of weakly incomparable essentially free and ergodic generalized Bernoulli actions of the group $\Gamma_5 = \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2$ (see Section 7).

Theorem 4. *There exist uncountable many equationally compact subgroups $\{H_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ of Γ_5 such that the associated generalized Bernoulli actions $\Gamma_5 \curvearrowright ([0,1], \lambda)^{\Gamma_5/H_\alpha}$ are pairwise weakly incomparable.*

2. Equationally compact subgroups of the finitary symmetric group

Let $S_\infty^0 = \cup_{n=1}^\infty S_n$ be the finitary symmetric group on the natural numbers. That is, the group of permutations fixing all but finitely many elements. The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1 by showing that the list of equationally compact subgroups of S_∞^0 contains only the set of finite groups and the alternating subgroup of even permutations

A^0_∞. Before getting into the proof let us fix some notations. Let $S^0_{[l,\infty]} \subset S^0_\infty$ be the subgroup of elements fixing the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, l-1\}$. Let $A^0_{[l,\infty]} = S^0_{[l,\infty]} \cap A^0_\infty$. For a permutation $\gamma \in S^0_\infty$, we define $s(\gamma)$ as the maximum of k's for which $\gamma(k) \neq k$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let H be an equationally compact subgroup of S^0_∞. Then one of the following two conditions are satisfied.*

1. *There exists $l \geq 0$ such that $H \cap S^0_{[l,\infty]} = \{e\}$.*
2. *There exists $l \geq 0$ such that $A^0_{[l,\infty]} \subset H$.*

Proof. Let $H \subset S^0_\infty$ be a subgroup such that neither of the two conditions above are satisfied. Let $\kappa_1 H \kappa_1^{-1}, \kappa_2 H \kappa_2^{-1}, \ldots$ be an enumeration of the conjugates of H. Inductively, we will pick elements $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset S^0_\infty, \{\delta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset S^0_\infty$ such that

- $\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_n\} \subset \gamma_n H \gamma_n^{-1}$.
- $\delta_n \notin \kappa_n H \kappa_n^{-1}$.

Hence the subgroup H cannot be equationally compact. Suppose that $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ has already been constructed and for any $1 \leq i \leq n$

- $\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_i\} \subset \gamma_i H \gamma_i^{-1}$.
- $\delta_i \notin \kappa_i H \kappa_i^{-1}$.

Let

$$l = \max(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} s(\gamma_i), \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} s(\delta_i), \kappa_{n+1}) + 1 .$$

Since a conjugacy class always generates a normal subgroup, there exists a non-unit conjugacy class C of $S^0_{[l,\infty]}$ such that $H \cap C$ is a proper subset of C. Let $\delta_{n+1} \in C \backslash H, \rho_{n+1} \in H \cap C$. Then, we have $\gamma \in S^0_{[l,\infty]}$ such that $\gamma \rho_{n+1} \gamma^{-1} = \delta_{n+1}$. Let $\gamma_{n+1} = \gamma \gamma_n$. By the definition of l, we have that γ commutes with $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and κ_{n+1}, hence

- $\delta_{n+1} \notin \kappa_{n+1} H \kappa_{n+1}^{-1}$.
- $\delta_i \in \gamma_{n+1} H \gamma_{n+1}^{-1}$, whenever $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Therefore, H is not equationally compact. □

Lemma 2.1. *If H is equationally compact and contains $A^0_{[l,\infty]}$ for some $l > 0$, then either $H = S^0_\infty$ or $H = A^0_\infty$.*

Proof. If $A^0_{[l,\infty]} \subseteq H$, then for any $k \geq 1$ there exists a conjugate of H, $\gamma H \gamma^{-1}$ such that the subgroup A_k is contained in $\gamma H \gamma^{-1}$. Hence, if H is equationally compact, then it must contain the whole group $A^0_\infty = \cup_{k=1}^\infty A_k$. Therefore, $H = S^0_\infty$ or $H = A^0_\infty$. □

The following proposition finishes to proof of Theorem 1.

Proposition 2.2. *If there exists $l \geq 1$ such that $H \cap S^0_{[l,\infty]} = \{e\}$, then H is finite.*

Proof. Suppose that H is an infinite subgroup of S^0_∞.

Lemma 2.2. *There exists an infinite subset $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset H$ such that for any $n \geq 1$, $\gamma_n(1) = 1$.*

Proof. First, let us suppose that there exists $k \geq 1$ and an infinite subset $\{\delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H$ such that $\delta_n(1) = k$. Let $\gamma_n = \delta_n^{-1}\delta_1$. Then for any $n \geq 1$, $\gamma_n(1) = 1$. If such k does not exist, then we have an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and an infinite subset $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H$ such that

- $\gamma_n(1) = k_n$.
- $k_n > s(\gamma_i)$, whenever $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Then for any $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $\gamma_n^{-1}\gamma_i\gamma_n(1) = 1$, hence our lemma follows. □

Now let s be a positive integer and $\{\delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H$ be an infinite set of permutations such that $\delta_n(j) = j$ if $1 \leq j \leq s$.

Lemma 2.3. *There exists an infinite subset $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H$ such that $\gamma_n(j) = j$, if $1 \leq j \leq s+1$.*

Proof. Again, if there exists $k \geq 1$ and an infinite subset $\{\rho_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H$ such that

- $\rho_n(j) = j$, if $1 \leq j \leq s$.
- $\rho_n(s+1) = k$.

then the set $\{\gamma_n = \rho_i^{-1}\rho_1\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ will satisfy the condition of our lemma. On the other hand, if such k does not exist then we have an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and an infinite subset $\{\delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H$ such that

- $\delta_n(j) = j$, if $1 \leq j \leq s$.
- $\delta_n(s+1) = k_n$.
- $k_n \geq s(\delta_i)$ if $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Hence $\delta_n^{-1}\delta_i\delta_n(j) = j$, if $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq s+1$. Thus our lemma follows. □

By induction, we can construct infinitely many elements $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H$ such that $\gamma_n \in S^0_{[l,\infty]}$, in contradiction with the fact that $H \cap S^0_{[l,\infty]} = \{e\}$. □

3. Tree subgroups and equational compactness

Let T be a tree of vertex degrees at most three with edges properly colored by the letters a, b and c, that is, adjacent edges are colored differently. From now on (until Section 6 all trees will be considered to be properly (a,b,c)-edge-colored. Trees are Schreier-graphs, the associated action of $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2$ on the vertex set $V(T)$ is given the following way.

- If for $x \in V(T)$ there exists an edge $e(x,y)$ colored by a, then $ax = y$.
- If such edge does not exist, then $a(x) = x$.

We define the action of the generators b and c in a similar fashion. Let $w = p_n p_{n-1} p_{n-2} \dots p_1$, where $p_i = a, b$ or c be a reduced word, $x \in V(T)$. Then $w \in \mathrm{Stab}_T(x)$, if there exists a closed walk $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ in T such that

- $v_0 = v_n = x$.
- $p_i(v_{i-1}) = v_i$.

If $x \in V(T)$, then $\mathrm{Stab}_T(x)$ is called a **tree subgroup** of Γ. The orbit of $H = \mathrm{Stab}_T(x)$ in the space of subgroups $S(\Gamma)$ is the set $\{\mathrm{Stab}(y) \mid y \in V(T)\}$. Now we describe the orbit closure of H. First, let us recall the notion of convergence and limits for rooted trees. A rooted tree (T, ρ) is an (a, b, c)-edge-colored tree with a distinguished vertex $\rho \in V(T)$. The distance of two rooted trees is defined the following way.

$$d_\tau\left((T_1, \rho_1), (T_2, \rho_2)\right) = 2^{-k}$$

if the balls $B_k(T_1, \rho_1)$ and $B_k(T_2, \rho_2)$ are isomorphic as rooted, colored graphs, but $B_{k+1}(T_1, \rho_1)$ and $B_{k+1}(T_2, \rho_2)$ are not isomorphic. The space $\mathcal{RT}$ of rooted trees is a totally disconnected compact space with respect to the metric d_τ. We will denote by $\mathcal{T}$ the set of all $\{a, b, c\}$-trees up to isomorphism.

Lemma 3.1. *The sequence $\{T_n, \rho_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to $\{T, \rho\}_{n=1}^\infty$ if and only if $Stab_{T_n}(\rho_n)$ converges to $Stab_T(\rho)$ in the space of subgroups $S(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Let $\{T_n, \rho_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of rooted trees converging to (T, ρ). Let $w \in \Gamma$ be a reduced word of length k. By definition, if n is large enough, then $B_k(T_n, \rho_n) \cong B_k(T, \rho)$, hence $w(\rho) = \rho$ if and only if $w(\rho_n) = \rho_n$ for large enough n. Therefore, $\mathrm{Stab}_T(\rho)$ is the limit of the sequence $\mathrm{Stab}_{T_n}(\rho_n)$ in the space $S(\Gamma)$. Conversely, let H be the limit of the sequence $\mathrm{Stab}_{T_n}(\rho_n)$ in $S(\Gamma)$. Let W_k be the finite set of reduced words of length k in Γ. Then there exists an integer $N > 0$ such that if $N \leq n$, then an element $w \in W_n$ fixes ρ_n if and only if it fixes ρ. Hence, the Schreier-graph $\mathrm{Sch}(\Gamma/H)$ must be a tree and $\{T_n, \rho_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to the rooted tree $\mathrm{Sch}(\Gamma/H, H)$ in the space of rooted trees $\mathcal{RT}$. □

Before getting further, let us recall the notion of a **branch**. If T is a tree and $x \in V(T)$, then $y, z \in V(T)\backslash\{x\}$ is called branch equivalent if the shortest paths connecting y to x resp. z to x have a joint edge. For a fixed x Tte equivalence classes induce subtrees of T and they are called branches. For any edge e adjacent to x there exists exactly one branch containing e. The vertex x is called the root of the branch. Now let T_3 be the infinite tree of vertex degrees 3 with its unique (a, b, c)-edge coloring.
A **decorated tree** T_3^M is constructed the following way. Let $M \subseteq E(T_3)$ be a set of edges of T_3 colored by the letter a. For each chosen edge $e(x, y) \in M$ we will have two extra vertices x_e, y_e such that x is connected to x_e by an edge colored by a, x_e is connected to y_e by an edge colored by b and y_e is connected to y by an edge colored by a (and then we delete the original edge $e(x, y)$). It is easy to see that the resulting tree T_3^M is properly (a, b, c)-colored, the "old" vertices have valency 3 and the "new" vertices have valency 2. The following lemma is trivial.

Lemma 3.2. *For any decorated tree we have an (a, b, c, D)-coloring of the 3-tree such that edges adjacent to an edge colored by D can be colored by either b or c (we call such edge-colorings* **good***). Conversely, if we have a good (a, b, c, D)-coloring of the edges of the 3-tree, then there is an associated decorated tree T_3^M, where M is the set of edges colored by D.*

It is easy to see that if $\{(T_n, \rho_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a convergent sequence of good rooted (a, b, c, D)-trees, then its limit (T, ρ) is a good tree as well. Let $\mathcal{RT}_D$ denotes the compact space of

rooted (a,b,c,D)-trees and $\mathcal{T}_D$ denote the set of all (a,b,c,D)-trees. For a good (a,b,c,D)-tree T, $\phi(T)$ denotes the decorated (a,b,c)-colored tree associated to T. The following lemma is easy to prove.

Lemma 3.3. *The sequence $\{(T_n,\rho_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is converging to (T,ρ) in $\mathcal{RT}_D$ if and only if $\{(\phi(T_n),\rho_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is converging to $(\phi(T),\rho)$ in $\mathcal{RT}$.*

Now we define **sequential dominance** that is a crucial notion of our paper. Let T and S be good (a,b,c,D)-trees and $x\in V(T_3)$. We say that S dominates T via x if there exists a branch $\mathcal{B}$ in the underlying infinite tree T_3 such that

- x is not in $\mathcal{B}$.
- Outside the branch $\mathcal{B}$ the colorings of S and T are identical.
- There is no D-colored edge in $E(T)\cap\mathcal{B}$.

Now, suppose that T, $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are good (a,b,c,D) trees, $x\in V(T)$ such that S_1 dominates T via x and S_n dominates S_{n-1} via x for any $n\geq 2$. Then we have

$$E_D(T)\subset E_D(S_1)\subset E_D(S_2)\subset\dots$$

where $E_D(T)$ is the set of D-colored edges in T. So we can consider the good (a,b,c,D)-tree S, where $E_D(S)=\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}E_D(S_n)$. In this case, we say that S sequentially dominates T via x.

Lemma 3.4. *Let (R,x) and (S,y) be good (a,b,c,D)-trees. Suppose that there exists a good (a,b,c,D)-tree T such that*

- *S sequentially dominates T via y.*
- *(R,x) and (T,y) are isomorphic as rooted, colored trees.*

Then $Stab_{\phi(R)}(x)\subset Stab_{\phi(S)}(y)$. If the conditions of this lemma are satisfied then we say that the rooted tree (S,y) sequentially dominates (R,x). Note that for simplicity we write y instead of $\phi(y)$ since the vertex y can be clearly identified in $\phi(S)$.

Proof. It is enough to show that $\mathrm{Stab}_{\phi(T)}(y)\subset\mathrm{Stab}_{\phi(S_1)}(y)$. Let w be a reduced word that fixes y in $\phi(T)$. Since all the vertex degrees of $\phi(T)$ in the branch $\mathcal{B}$ are 3, any closed walk in $\pi(T)$ that goes through y and has an edge in $\mathcal{B}$ must have a turning point. Hence, the walk induced by w does not have an edge in $\mathcal{B}$. Therefore, $w\in\mathrm{Stab}_{\phi(S_1)}(y)$. Inductively,

$$\mathrm{Stab}_{\phi(T)}(y)\subset\mathrm{Stab}_{\phi(S_1)}(y)\subset\mathrm{Stab}_{\phi(S_2)}(y)\subset\cdots$$

Since $\mathrm{Stab}_{\phi(S)}(y)=\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathrm{Stab}_{\phi(S_n)}(y)$, our lemma follows. □

The following proposition is our main tool for constructing equationally compact subsgroups in Γ.

Proposition 3.1. *Let S be an (a,b,c,D)-tree. Let $\{(T_\alpha,x_\alpha)\}_{\alpha\in I}$ be the set of all elements of the closure of the set $\{S,y\}_{y\in S}$ in $\mathcal{RT}_D$. Suppose that for any $\alpha\in I$, there exists $x_\alpha\in V(T_\alpha)$ and $y_\alpha\in V(S)$ such that (S,y_α) sequentially dominates (T_α,x_α). Then for each $y\in V(S)$, $Stab_{\phi(S)}(y)$ is an equationally compact subgroup of Γ.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.2, we only need to prove that if $\{(\phi(S), y_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ converges to (Q, z) in $\mathcal{RT}$, then $\mathrm{Stab}_Q(z) \subset \mathrm{Stab}_{\phi(S)}(y)$ for some $y \in V(S)$. We can suppose that the vertices $\{y_i\}$ has degree 3. Indeed, if the degrees (for large i) are 2, then we can substitute y_i by an adjacent vertex y_i' having vertex degree 3. Then $\{(\phi(S), y_i')\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ will converge to (Q, z'), where z' is adjacent to z. Now, if $\mathrm{Stab}_Q(z') \subset \mathrm{Stab}_{\phi(S)}(y')$ for some $y' \in V(S)$, then $\mathrm{Stab}_Q(z) \subset \mathrm{Stab}_{\phi(S)}(y)$ for some $y \in V(S)$. By Lemma 3.3, if $\{(\phi(S), y_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ converges to (Q, z) in $\mathcal{RT}$, then $\{(S, y_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ converges to (T, z) in $\mathcal{RT}_D$ and $\phi(T) = Q$. By the condition of our proposition, there exists $z" \in V(T)$ such that the rooted tree $(T, z")$ is sequentially dominated by (S, y') for some $y' \in V(S)$. Hence, by Proposition 3.4, $\mathrm{Stab}_{\phi(T)}(z") \subset \mathrm{Stab}_{\phi(S)}(y')$. That is,

$$\mathrm{Stab}_Q(z) = \mathrm{Stab}_{\phi(T)}(z) \subset \mathrm{Stab}_{\phi(S)}(y) \text{ for some } y \in V(S) \qquad \square$$

The following example is intended to illustrate the use of Proposition 3.1. We call a good (a, b, c, D)-tree S **sparse**, if for any $n \geq 1$, there exists only finitely many pairs of edges $E_D(S)$ having distance at most n.

Proposition 3.2. *Let S be a sparse tree. Then for any vertex $y \in \phi(S)$, $Stab_{\phi(S)}(y)$ is equationally compact.*

Proof. Suppose that the sequence $\{(S, x_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ converges to (T, x) in $\mathcal{RT}_D$. Recall, that it means that for any $r \geq 1$, the ball $B_r(T, x)$ is rooted-colored-isomorphic to $B_r(S, x_i)$ if i is large enough. We have three cases.

Case 1. The sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded. Then (T, x) is isomorphic to (S, y) for some vertex $y \in V(S)$.

Case 2. There exists $r \geq 1$ such that $B_r(S, x_i)$ contains exactly one D-colored edge. Then the limit tree (T, x) has exactly one D-colored edge. Clearly, (T, x) is sequentially dominated by (S, y) for some $y \in S$.

Case 3. For all $r \geq 1$, the balls $B_r(S, x_i)$ does not contain D-colored edges if i is large enough. Then (T, x) has no D-colored edges, so (T, x) is sequentially dominated by (S, y) for all $y \in V(S)$ and $\mathrm{Stab}_{\phi(T)}(x) = \{e\}$.

Hence, the conditions of Proposition 3.1 are satisfied. Therefore, for any vertex $y \in \phi(S)$, $\mathrm{Stab}_{\phi(S)}(y)$ is equationally compact. $\square$

4. The proof of Theorem 3

. Before getting into the proof of Theorem 3 let us introduce some definitions to make the construction of good (a, b, c, D)- trees easier. A bi-infinite (a, b)-path is a graph on the vertex set $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that x_n and x_m is adjacent if and only if $|n - m| = 1$ and the edge $e(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})$ is colored by a, the edge $e(x_{2k}, x_{2k-1})$ is colored by b. An infinite (c, b)-path is a graph on the vertex set $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ such that y_n and y_m is adjacent if and only if $|n - m| = 1$ and the edge $e(y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})$ is colored by c, the edge $e(y_{2k}, y_{2k-1})$ is colored by b. Now we build our (a, b, c, D)-tree. We start with the standard infinite (a, b, c)-colored tree T_3.

Step 1. We fix a bi-infinite (a,b)-subpath in T_3 on the vertices $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$.

Step 2. Let us consider the infinite (c,b)-path starting from x_0. The vertices of the path are $\{y^0_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where $y^0_0 = x_0$.

Step 3. Now for any $k > 0$ we consider the infinite (c,b)-path starting from x_{2^k}. The vertices of this path are $\{y^k_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where $y^k_0 = x_{2^k}$.

Step 4. For any $i \geq 1$, we choose a subset L_i of the positive integers. If $s \in L_i$, then we recolor the a-colored edge adjacent to the vertex $y^i_{2^s}$ by the color D.

Step 5. We recolor the a-colored edges adjacent to the vertices $\{y^0_{2^k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ by D.

Proposition 4.1. *For all choices of the family $\mathcal{L}$ of the sets $\{L_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ the resulting tree $S_{\mathcal{L}}$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.1, hence $Stab_{\phi(S)}(z)$ is equationally compact if $z \in V(S)$.*

Proof. Again, suppose that the sequence $\{(S,z_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ converges to (T,q) in $\mathcal{RT}_D$. We need to show that (T,q) is sequentially dominated by (S,y) for some $y \in V(S)$. The three possible cases described in Proposition 3.2 are already handled. The underlying limit trees are in these cases $S, \hat{T}_3$ and T_3, where $\hat{T}_3$ is the tree with one single D-colored edge. Before considering the fourth case, let us define a specific tree. Let $L \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be representing a subset of the positive integers. Let $p = p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots$ be a (c,b)-path in T_3 starting from the vertex p. Then recolor by D the a-colored edge adjacent to p_i, if $i = 2^j$, where $j \in L$. The resulting tree is denoted by T_L.

Case 4. For some $r \geq 1$, the balls $B_r(S,z_i)$ contains at least two D-colored edges provided that i is large enough.
Hence, there exists some integer $d \geq 0$ such that $\mathrm{dist}_S(z_i, x_{n_i}) = d$ for some increasing sequence $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, where dist_S is the shortest path distance in $S_{\mathcal{L}}$. First, let us suppose that $z_i = x_{n_i}$ that is $d = 0$. The following lemma is an easy consequence of the definition of rooted tree convergence.

Lemma 4.1. *The sequence $\{L_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is convergent in the Tychonoff-topology of pointwise convergence and $(T,q) \cong (T_L,p)$, where L is the limit of $\{L_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$.*

By our construction, (T_L,p) is sequentially contained in (S,x_0). Now, if $d \neq 0$, then $(T,x) \cong (T_L,p')$, where p' is some vertex of T_L. Hence, (T,x) is still sequentially contained by (S,x_0). This finishes the proof of Proposition 4.1. □

Now we are ready to finish the proof of Theorem 3. Let $\mathcal{N} = \{N_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a countable closed set with Cantor-Bendixson rank α. It is well-known that such set exists. Choose the sets $\{L_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ in such a way that each L_i equals to some N_j and for each j we have infinitely many i so that L_i equals to N_j. We denote by $\mathrm{Cl}(S_{\mathcal{L}})$ the closure of $\{S_{\mathcal{L}}, y\}_{y\in S_{\mathcal{L}}}$ in $\mathcal{RT}_D$. The following proposition finishes the proof of Theorem 3.

Proposition 4.2. *The Cantor-Bendixson rank of $Cl(S_{\mathcal{L}})$ is $\alpha+2$ if α is an infinite ordinal and $\alpha+3$ if α is a finite ordinal.*

Proof. As we have see in the proof of Proposition 4.1, $\mathrm{Cl}(S_{\mathcal{L}})$ is the union of the families $\{(S_{\mathcal{L}}, y)\}_{y\in S_{\mathcal{L}}}$, $\cup_{j=1}^{\infty}\{(T_{N_j}, z)\}_{z\in T_{N_j}}$, $\{(\hat{T}_3, x)\}_{x\in\hat{T}_3}$ and the element (T_3, p). Let $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\subset\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $Q := \cup_{k=1}^{\infty}\{(T_{M_k}, z)\}_{z\in T_{M_k}}$. Again, by Proposition 4.1, $\mathrm{Cl}(Q)$ is the union of the families $\cup_{L\in\overline{\cup_{k=1}^{\infty}M_k}}\{(T_L, y)\}_{y\in T_L}, \{(\hat{T}_3, x)\}_{x\in\hat{T}_3}$ and the element (T_3, p). Hence, the isolated points of $\mathrm{Cl}(S_{\mathcal{L}})$ denoted by $\mathrm{Cl}_0(S_{\mathcal{L}})$ are exactly the elements $\{(S_{\mathcal{L}}, y)\}_{y\in\mathcal{L}}$. The isolated points of $\mathrm{Cl}(S_{\mathcal{L}})\backslash\mathrm{Cl}_0(S_{\mathcal{L}})$ denoted by $\mathrm{Cl}_1(S_{\mathcal{L}})$ are $\cup_{N_j\in\mathcal{N}_0}\{(T_{N_j}, z)\}_{z\in T_{N_j}}$, where $\mathcal{N}_0$ is the set of isolated points in $\mathcal{N}$. For an ordinal γ, define $\mathcal{N}_\gamma$ to be the isolated points of $\mathcal{N}\backslash\cup_{\beta<\gamma}\mathcal{N}_\beta$. Similarly, define Cl_γ to be the isolated points of $\mathrm{Cl}(S_{\mathcal{L}})\backslash\cup_{\beta<\gamma}\mathrm{Cl}_\beta(S_{\mathcal{L}})$. By transfinite induction, we can see that for finite ordinals β

$$\mathrm{Cl}_{\beta+1}(S_{\mathcal{L}}) = \cup_{N_j\in\mathcal{N}_\beta}\{(T_{N_j}, z)\}_{z\in T_{N_j}},$$

and for infinite ordinals β

$$\mathrm{Cl}_{\beta}(S_{\mathcal{L}}) = \cup_{N_j\in\mathcal{N}_\beta}\{(T_{N_j}, z)\}_{z\in T_{N_j}}.$$

Therefore by the definition of the set $\mathcal{N}$, if α is a finite ordinal

$$\mathrm{Cl}(S_{\mathcal{L}})\backslash\mathrm{Cl}_{\alpha+1}(S_{\mathcal{L}}) = \{(\hat{T}_3, x)\}_{x\in\hat{T}_3}\cup(T_3, p),$$

and if α is an infinite ordinal

$$\mathrm{Cl}(S_{\mathcal{L}})\backslash\mathrm{Cl}_{\alpha}(S_{\mathcal{L}}) = \{(\hat{T}_3, x)\}_{x\in\hat{T}_3}\cup(T_3, p).$$

Hence for a finite ordinal $\mathrm{Cl}_{\alpha+3}$ consists of one single element (T_3, p). For an infinite ordinal $\mathrm{Cl}_{\alpha+2}$ consists of one single element (T_3, p). □

5. Minimal systems

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 2. First, let us recall the notion of minimal subshifts of the Bernoulli shift space. Let $\{a,b,c\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the set of all $\{a,b,c\}$-valued functions σ on the integers with the natural $\mathbb{Z}$-action

$$t_n(\sigma)(a) = \sigma(a-n).$$

A minimal subshift is a closed, invariant subspace $\Sigma\subset\{a,b,c\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that the orbit closure of any $\sigma\in\Sigma$ is Σ itself. Let $w = (q_k, q_{k-1}, \ldots, q_1)\in\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\sigma\in\{a,b,c\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. We say that $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ *sees* w if

$$\sigma(n-i) = q_i \quad \text{for any } 1\le i\le k.$$

It is easy to see (e.g. [4]) that the orbit closure of σ is a minimal subshift if for any $w\in\Gamma$ that is seen by some integer n, there exists $m_w > 0$ such that the longest interval in $\mathbb{Z}$ without elements that see w is shorter than m_w. We call such a σ a minimal sequence. A *good* minimal sequence is a minimal sequence that does not contain the same letter consecutively. It is well-known that good minimal sequences exist for which the associated subshift has the cardinality of the continuum. Now, let us consider the line graph L on $\mathbb{Z}$. That is, $a, b\in\mathbb{Z}$ is connected if and only if $|a-b| = 1$. Let σ be a good minimal sequence.

Color the edge $(n, n+1)$ of L by $\sigma(n)$. Then we obtain the $\{a, b, c\}$-tree L_σ. Let Σ be the orbit closure of σ. By Lemma 3.3 and the definition of a good minimal sequence one can see immediately that if $\tau \in \Sigma$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathrm{Stab}_{L_\tau}(n)$ is in the orbit closure of $\mathrm{Stab}_{L_\sigma}(0)$ in the space of subgroups $S(\Gamma)$. Conversely, any element of the orbit closure of $\mathrm{Stab}_{L_\sigma}(0)$ is in the form of $\mathrm{Stab}_{L_\tau}(n)$, for some τ and n. That is,

$$\{\mathrm{Stab}_{L_\tau}(n)\}_{\tau\in\Sigma, n\in\mathbb{Z}}$$

is a minimal Γ-system in $S(\Gamma)$. These systems are called a uniformly recurrent subgroup (URS) in [5] (we thank Miklós Abért to call our attention to this paper). Since there are continuum many minimal subshifts in $\{a, b, c\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, in this way we obtain continuum many URS's in $S(\Gamma)$ (see Theorem 5.1 [5]). Note that if Σ and Σ' represents the same URS, then either $\Sigma = \Sigma'$ or $\Sigma' = \Sigma^{-1}$, where $\sigma^{-1}(n) := \sigma(-n)$, for any $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Clearly, an URS is an equationally compact set in $S(\Gamma)$, therefore Theorem 2 follows from the lemma below.

Lemma 5.1. *Let σ, τ be good elements of a minimal subshift Σ. Suppose that τ is neither a $\mathbb{Z}$-translate of σ nor a $\mathbb{Z}$-translate of σ^{-1}. Then for any $n \geq 1$, $Stab_{L_\sigma}(0) \not\subset Stab_{L_\tau}(n)$.*

Proof. Let $n \geq 1$ and let $w = (q_k, q_{k-1}, \ldots, q_1)$ be the longest word such that one of the following two conditions hold.

(1) $\sigma(-i) = \tau(n - i)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k$.
(2) $\sigma(-i) = \tau(n + i)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Without a loss of generality we can suppose that the first condition holds, $\sigma(-k) = c$, $\sigma(-k-1) = a$, $\tau(n-k-1) = b$. Then clearly, $w^{-1}bw \in \mathrm{Stab}_{L_\sigma}(0)$. On the other hand, $w^{-1}bw \notin \mathrm{Stab}_{L_\tau}(n)$. Indeed, $bw(n) = n - k - 1$, hence $w^{-1}bw(n) < n$. □

6. Weak equivalence of actions I. (the basic construction)

In this section we construct a continuum of equationally compact subgroups of the group $\Gamma_5 = \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ be free generators of order two in Γ_5. Let T_5 be the infinite tree of vertex degrees five properly edge-colored by the symbols $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$. We will consider good $\{a, b, c, d, e, D\}$-colorings of T_5 and the associated Γ_5-actions, where a D-colored edge is modified exactly the same way as in Section 3, by adding two vertices p_x, p_y such that the edges (x, p_x) and (p_y, y) are colored by a and the edge (p_x, p_y) is colored by b. So, in the associated $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$-tree the degrees of the new vertices are two, the degrees of the old vertices are five.

The master subtree R. In order to build our master subtree R in T_5 we need some definitions. A $(2^n, b, a)$-path in T_5 is a path $(x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2^n})$ such that all edges (x_{2l}, x_{2l+1}) are colored by b and all edges (x_{2l+1}, x_{2l+2}) are colored by a. Hence the path starts with a b-colored edge and ends with an a-colored edge. We define the $(2^n, c, a)$-paths similarly. They start with a c-colored edge and end with an a-colored edge. Now, fix a vertex $p \in V(T_5)$. Pick the $(2, b, a)$-path and the $(2, c, a)$-path starting from p to obtain two new endpoints. Then for each of the two endpoints pick the $(4, b, a)$-path and the $(4, c, a)$-path starting from them to obtain four new endpoints. In the n-th step we see 2^{n-1}-endpoints

and for each such endpoints x we pick the $(2^n, b, a)$-path and the $(2^n, c, a)$-path starting from x to obtain 2^n new endpoints. Inductively, we build the infinite subtree R, as the union of all the chosen paths above.

The codes. A code C is an infinite sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that if $n \geq 1$, then $a_i = b$ or $a_i = c$. Using our master tree R, for each code C we build a good (a, b, c, d, e, D)-tree T_C and the associated (a, b, c, d, e)-tree S_C. Note that T_C will be recoloring of T_5 and not a recoloring of the master subtree R.

Step 1. We recolor by D the last edge of the $(2, a_1, a)$-path starting from $p = p_C$ we denote by x_1^C the endpoint of the *other* 2-path (a $(2, b, a)$-path or a $(2, c, a)$-path) starting from p.

Step 2. We recolor by D the last edge of the $(4, a_2, a)$-path starting from x_1^C. Then we denote the endpoint of the other 4-path by x_2^C.

Step n. We recolor by D the last edge of the $(2^n, a_n, a)$-path starting from x_{n-1}^C and denote by x_n^C the endpoint of the other 2^n-path.

Inductively, we construct the good (a, b, c, d, e, D)-tree T_C.
Since the associated (a, b, c, d, e)-tree S_C is sparse, by Proposition 3.2, $\Gamma_C := \mathrm{Stab}_{S_C}(p)$ is an equationally compact subgroup of Γ_5. The following technical proposition is crucial for the proof of Theorem 4.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $C = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$ and $C' = (a_1', a_2', \dots)$ be different codes. Then there exists a finitely generated non-amenable subgroup $H \subset \Gamma_C$ such that no finite subset of $V(S_{C'})$ is invariant under the action of H.*

Proof. We prove our propostion using two lemmas.

Lemma 6.1.

$$Stab_{S_C}(p_C) \not\subset Stab_{S_{C'}}(p_{C'})$$

Proof. Since $C \neq C'$, there exist $q \in V(S_C)$, $q' \in V(S_{C'})$ and $n \geq 1$ such that

- The paths (p_C, q) and $(p_{C'}, q')$ are colored-isomorphic paths of length n.
- The degree of q is two and the degree of q' is five.

Let $w \in \Gamma_5$ be the word of length n such that $w(p_C) = q$ and $w(p_{C'}) = q'$. Then $w^{-1}ew \in \mathrm{Stab}_{S_C}(p_C)$ and $w^{-1}ew \notin \mathrm{Stab}_{S_{C'}}(p_{C'})$. □

Let $\gamma = a_1aeaa_1$, $\delta = \overline{a_1}aa_2aa_2aeaa_2aa_2a\overline{a_1}$, where $\overline{a_1} = b$ if $a_1 = c$ and $\overline{a_1} = c$ if $a_1 = b$. Obviously, $\gamma, \delta \in \mathrm{Stab}_{S_C}(p_C)$.

Lemma 6.2. *Let F be a finite subset of $V(S_{C'})$ containing at least one element that does not equal to $p_{C'}$. Then F is not invariant under the group generated by γ and δ.*

Proof. Suppose that $q \in F$ and q is not a vertex of degree 2 or a vertex from the master subtree R. Then either the a_1-branch or the a_2-branch of q contains only vertices of degree

five. Hence, either the set $\{(\gamma\delta)^n(q)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ or the set $\{(\delta\gamma)^n(q)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is infinite. So, we can suppose that all the elements of F are either vertices of degree 2 or they are from the master subtree. Let s be one of the furthest elements from $p_{C'}$ in F. Then either $\gamma(s)$ or $\delta(s)$ are further from $p_{C'}$ than s. Hence F cannot be invariant under the group generated by γ and δ. □

Now, let $H \subset \mathrm{Stab}_{S_C}(p_C)$ be generated by the set

$$\{\gamma, \delta, a_1adaa_1, a_1aeaa_1, a_1afaa_1, \alpha\}\,,$$

where $\alpha \in \mathrm{Stab}_{S_C}(p_C)\backslash\mathrm{Stab}_{S_{C'}}(p_{C'})$. Then H is finitely generated, non-amenable subgroup of $\mathrm{Stab}_{S_C}(p_C)$, without non-empty invariant subsets in $V(S_{C'})$. □

Now we recall the notion of amenable actions [7]. An action $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright X$ of a countable group Γ on a countable set X is called **amenable** if there exists a sequence of finite subsets in X, the so-called Følner sets $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that for any $g \in \Gamma$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\alpha(g)(F_n) \cup F_n|}{|F_n|} = 1\,.$$

Suppose that Γ is generated by the finite set $\{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_r\}$. Then the action α is non-amenable if and only if there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any finite set $F \subset X$

$$|\cup_{j=1}^{r} \alpha(g_j)(F) \cup F| \geq (1+\epsilon)|F|\,.$$

The left action of a group Γ on itself or on an overgroup of Γ is amenable if and only if the group Γ is amenable.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $C \neq C'$ be two codes and $H \subset Stab_{S_C}(p_C)$ be the finitely generated non-amenable subgroup of Γ_5 as in Proposition 6.1. then the restricted action of H on the set $V(S_{C'})$ is non-amenable.*

Proof. We start with a technical lemma.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of connected, induced, finite subgraphs in $V(S_{C'})$. Then*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\cup_{j=1}^{r} \beta(h_j)(V(G_n)) \cup V(G_n)|}{|V(G_n)|} > 1\,.$$

Here, β denotes the restricted action of H.

Proof. For any $n \geq 1$, we consider the finite induced subgraph $H_n \subset T_5$ constructed the following way. If (p, q, r, s) is a path in $S_{C'}$ such that the degrees of q and p are two in $S_{C'}$ with respect to the standard generating system $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ (that is, this path was substituted for a D-colored edge) and the path is in G_n, then let $(p, s) \in E(H_n)$. If only (p, q) or (r, s) is an edge of G_n, then $(p, s) \notin E(H_n)$. On the other hand, if the degrees of p and q are five in $S_{C'}$, then $(p, q) \in H_n$ if and only if $(p, q) \in G_n$. So we substitute

new paths with a single edge and cut off hanging edges starting from new vertices. Hence, there is a bijection between $V_5(G_n)$ and $V(H_n)$, where

$$V_5(G_n) = \{x \in V(G_n) \mid \deg_{S_{C'}}(x) = 5\}\,.$$

Since $S_{C'}$ is sparse (that is for any $n \geq 1$ there are only finitely many pairs of degree 2 vertices of distance less than n),

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\cup_{j=1}^r \alpha(h_j)(V(H_n)) \cup V(H_n)|}{|\cup_{j=1}^r \beta(h_j)(V(G_n)) \cup V(G_n)|} = 1\,, \tag{1}$$

where α denotes the left action of H on the overgroup Γ_5. By the non-amenability of α,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\cup_{j=1}^r \alpha(h_j)(V(H_n)) \cup V(H_n)|}{|V(H_n)|} > 1\,,$$

hence by (1) our proposition follows. □

Corollary 6.1. *There exist $\delta > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for any finite, connected induced subgraph $L \subset G$ such that $|V(L)| \geq C$*

$$|\cup_{j=1}^r \beta(h_j)(V(L)) \cup V(L)| > (1+\delta)|V(L)|\,.$$

Now, we finish the proof of the proposition. Let $M \subset V(S_{C'})$ be a finite subset and L_M be the subgraph induced by M. Let $\{L_q\}_{q=1}^s$ be the connected components of L_M. By Proposition 6.1 $V(L_j)$ is not invariant under H, hence for any $1 \leq q \leq s$

$$|\cup_{j=1}^r \beta(h_j)(V(L_q)) \cup V(L_q)| \geq \frac{C+1}{C}|V(L_q)|\,,$$

provided that $|V(L_q)| \leq C$. Hence we have the following inequality. For any $1 \leq q \leq s$,

$$|\cup_{j=1}^r \beta(h_j)(V(L_q))\backslash V(L_q)| \geq m|V(L_q)|\,, \tag{2}$$

where $m = \min(\frac{1}{C}, \delta)$.

Observe that for any vertex $x \in L_M$, there exist at most r values of q such that

$$x \in \cup_{j=1}^r \beta(h_j)(V(L_q))\backslash V(L_q)\,,$$

hence by (2), we have that

$$|\cup_{j=1}^r \beta(h_j)(V(L_M)) \cup V(L_M)| \geq (1+\frac{m}{r})|V(L_M)|\,. \qquad \square$$

7. Weak equivalence of actions II. (the proof of Theorem 4)

The notion of weak equivalence was introduced by Kechris [6] and since then it has been studied extensively. Let $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright (M,\lambda), \beta : \Gamma \curvearrowright (M,\lambda)$ be measure preserving actions of a countable group Γ on a standard probability space (M,λ). We say that α weakly contains β, $\alpha \succeq \beta$ if for any finite measurable partition of M, $M = \cup_{i=1}^n B_i$, a finite subset $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset \Gamma$ and a constant $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a partition $M = \cup_{i=1}^n A_i$ such that for any $1 \leq j \leq m$, $1 \leq k,l \leq n$

$$|\lambda(\alpha(g_j)(A_k) \cap A_l) - \lambda(\beta(g_j)(B_k) \cap B_l)| \leq \epsilon\,.$$

The actions α, β are **weakly incomparable** if $\alpha \not\succeq \beta$ and $\beta \not\succeq \alpha$. It was proved in [1] that for certain groups Γ there exist uncountably many pairwise weakly incomparable free and ergodic probability measure preserving (p.m.p.) actions of Γ. We shall prove in Theorem 4 that for Γ_5 there exist uncountably many generalized shifts associated to subgroups of Γ_5 that are pairwise weakly incomparable. We will use a result of Kechris and Tsankov [Theorem 1.2][7] in a crucial way. Let $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright (M, \lambda)$ be a p.m.p.action. A sequence of measurable subsets in M, $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are called asymptotically invariant with respect to the action α if for any $g \in \Gamma$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda\left(\alpha(g)(A_n) \backslash A_n\right) = 0\,.$$

The action α is called **strongly ergodic** if for any asymptotically invariant sequence $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda(A_n)\lambda(M\backslash A_n) = 0\,.$$

Clearly, a strongly ergodic action of Γ cannot weakly contain a non-ergodic action of Γ. Now, let $\beta : \Gamma \curvearrowright X$ be an action of Γ on a countable set by permutations. The associated generalized Bernoulli action $\hat{\beta}$ is defined on the product space $\prod_{x\in X}([0,1],\nu)$, where ν is the Lebesgue measure. The action is defined by

$$\hat{\beta}(g)(F)(x) = F(\beta(g^{-1})(x))\,,$$

where $F \in [0,1]^X, g \in \Gamma$. It is easy to see that $\hat{\beta}$ is essentially free if for any $e \neq g \in \Gamma$, there exist infinitely many $x \in X$ such that $\beta(g)(x) \neq x$. According to the theorem of Kechris and Tsankov, the associated generalized Bernoulli action $\hat{\beta} : \Gamma \curvearrowright \prod_{x\in X}([0,1],\nu)$ is strongly ergodic if and only if the action β is non-amenable. Now we can finish the proof of Theorem 4. Let $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\{b,c\}$ be the set of all codes. For each code C we constructed an $\{a,b,c,d,e\}$-colored tree S_C and the associated Γ_5-action $\alpha_C : \Gamma_5 \curvearrowright V(S_C)$. Let $C \neq C'$ be two codes and $H \subset \Gamma_5$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Stab}_{S_C}(p_C)$ as in Proposition 6.1. Then the associated generalized Bernoulli action restricted to H,

$$\hat{\alpha}_C \mid_H \colon H \curvearrowright \prod_{v\in V(S_C)} ([0,1],\nu)$$

is clearly non-ergodic. On the other hand, by Proposition 6.2 and the aforementioned theorem of Kechris and Tsankov,

$$\hat{\alpha}_{C'} \mid_H \colon H \curvearrowright \prod_{v\in V(S_{C'})} ([0,1],\nu)$$

is strongly ergodic, therefore $\hat{\alpha}_{C'} \not\succeq \hat{\alpha}_C$. Hence Theorem 4 follows. □

References

[1] M. Abért and G. Elek, Dynamical properties of profinite actions. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **32** (2012), no. 6, 1805-1835.

[2] B. Banaschewski, Equational compactness of G-sets. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **17** (1974), 11-18.

[3] Y. Cornulier, On the Cantor-Bendixson rank of metabelian groups. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, **61** (2011), no. 2, 593-618.

[4] G. Elek and N. Monod, On the topological full group of a minimal Cantor $\mathbb{Z}^2$-system. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **141** (2013), no. 10, 3549-3552.
[5] E. Glasner and B. Weiss, Uniformly recurrent subgroups. *Recent trends in ergodic theory and dynamical systems*, 63-75, Contemp. Math., 631, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015.
[6] A. S. Kechris, Global aspects of ergodic group actions. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, **160**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
[7] A. S. Kechris and T. Tsankov, Amenable actions and almost invariant sets. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (2008), no. 2, 687-697 .
[8] M. Prest and R. Rajani, Pure-injectivity and model theory for G-sets. *J. Symbolic Logic* **74** (2009), no. 2, 474-488.

Gábor Elek, Lancaster University
g.elek@lancaster.ac.uk

K. Królicki, Lancaster University
k.krolicki@lancaster.ac.uk